

ETH zürich



CSCS Site Update

Stefano Gorini, Matteo Chesi, Carmelo Ponti, CSCS May 31st, 2017

CSCS IT Architecture







Lustre Filesystems @ CSCS

Lustre for the Flagship System

Filesystem	Size	GB/s
/scratch/snx1600	2.7 PiB	120
/scratch/snx3000	6.4 PiB	80

- optimized for very big files
- optimized for writes
- Lustre 2.5
- ~6K client nodes
- Robinhood for cleaning policies





Lustre for TDS and R&D System

Test and Development Systems: Cray Sonexion 1600 & 2000

- Cray Sonexion 2000 for R&D systems
 - Lustre 2.5
 - Declustered RAID (GridRAID)
 - New Expansion Storage Units
 - 4 OSSs with 2 OSTs each one
 - 41 disks (113 TiB) per OST
 - stripe_count=1



Management Infrastructure (Nagios, Ganglia, Puppet, Greylog, custom solutions...)





MCH System – CRAY CLFS Lustre

Filesystem	Size	
Escha /scratch	73 TiB	
Kesch /scratch	73 TiB	

NetApp 2760 (2TB drives, NL-SAS) 2 CLFS Servers (OSS, MDS, MGS)

Server: CentOS release 6.4 (Final) Lustre: 2.5.0



Client:

Red Hat Enterprise Linux Server release 6.7 (Santiago) Lustre: 2.5.4





Monch – NEC Lustre

Filesystem	Size
Monch /scratch	350 TiB

Server: CentOS release 6.4 (Final) Lustre: 2.1.6

Client:

CentOS release 6.7 (Final) Lustre: 1.8.9









Data Movers

Data Transfer Service

The Data Mover nodes are managed via SLURM in order to create dependency and a clear workflow with HPC Jobs and data movement via specific tools:

- GRIDFTP
- move
- Cp
- Rsync
- ...









RobinHood

RobinHood

Each Lustre at CSCS as a dedicate RobinHood Server to perform the proper cleaning policy

Unfortunately on the main HPC System we are not able to do a real time check of the file system:

- Changelog is too slow in respect of the change rate we have on the FS
- Cleaning Policy is running base on a 24h FS Scan









Application Vs Lustre

Description of the Problem

Application (pre/post processing Fortran tool) slowdown



Condition	zone_reclaim_mode	Number of Runs	Average [s]	Standard dev [s]
2	0	15	198.533	12.928
3	1	38	440.921	337.741
4	0	62	193.677	27.617
5	0	161	499.379	1133.936
6	0	173	199.08	11.316



Is it the FS?

- Lets try GPFS.....
 - No Variation the application always perform the same
- So is it Lustre FS storage HW?No







Dedicated Test and Analysis Session

- All the problems are not related to an high load on the Lustre file system
- The kernel parameter reclaim vm.zone_reclaim_mode has a significant effect on the slowdown ("condition 5")
- Running the suite on the same node mitigates the slowdown
- Important Remark:

During the analysis of the application process with *perf*, in case of slowdown, The application was spending a lot of time with the kernel function **clear_page_c_e**:

Samples: 1M of event 'cycles', Event count (approx.): 854374192198 13.12% [kernel.kallsyms] [k] **clear_page_c_e** 7.58% application_12.2.0_gnu4.9.3_opt_omp [.] spumb_c_ 7.35% [kernel.kallsyms] [k] compaction_alloc



Solution

- The customer redesigned the initialization of data arrays (~40 GB on disk) by doing this initialization stepwise.
- With this new version of the library no significant performance fluctuation has been seen.
- Running the test case during more than 12 hours without cache cleaning on all nodes ("condition 5")
- The new initialization even improves the performance
- BUT the problem is still there:

"Lustre 2.9: is it fixed in this version?"









Next Challenges

What next?









Q & A