

Lustre User Group Austin, TX April 2012

Lustre Future Development

• Andreas Dilger

Principal Lustre Engineer Whamcloud, Inc. adilger@whamcloud.com



Community Lustre Roadmap



Lustre User Group 2012



Lustre 2.3 - September 2012

Client code cleanup (EMC/Cray)

- Finish 2.6.38 dcache scalability changes
- Port started for 3.1, 3.3 upstream kernels
- Clean up old kernel portability code, isolate from server code
- Groundwork for landing Lustre client to upstream kernel

• Single Server Metadata Performance (WC/OSFS)

- LNET, ptlrpc locking improvements
- Improved ptlrpc service thread scheduling (MRU)
- NUMA affinity for ptlrpcd threads (checksums)

• LFSCK Online scrub - OI Rebuild (WC/OSFS)

- OSD object iterator to efficiently traverse in-use inodes
- Object Index (OI) verify/rebuild (corruption, or MDT backup/restore)
- Distributed consistency checking infrastructure



Lustre 2.3 - continued

• Job Scheduler ID statistics tracking (WC)

- Extract Job ID from client environment
- Scheduler-dependent, tunable environment variable name
- Send Job ID with every RPC to server
- Track per statistics, like per-client stats

• OSD Restructuring - Object Filter Device (WC/LLNL)

- OST/obdfilter code layered on top of OSD API
- Will allow OST to benefit from Parallel Directory Operations
- Allow running OST on top of ZFS
- Presentation on this later today
- Client Checksum improvements (Xyratex)
 - Kernel assembly/hardware assistance
 - Performance-based algorithm selection
 - Presentation on this later today
- Many other projects underway
 - Not scheduled for release/landing until they are ready

http://wiki.whamcloud.com/display/PUB/Lustre+Community+Development+in+Progress



Lustre 2.4 - March 2013

- OSD Restructuring LOD/OSP (WC/LLNL)
 - Remove VFS usage from MDS/MGS
 - Allow running MDT, MGT on ZFS

LFSCK Online scrub - MDT-OST consistency (WC/OSFS)

- Verify MDT inode to OST object references, or recreate missing objects
- Verify each OST object is referenced by MDT inode, or move to lost+found
- Verify no OST object referenced by two inodes, or copy/unlink/quarantine

• Distributed Namespace - Remote Directory (WC/OSFS)

- Split namespace for subdirectory trees (e.g. /home/{user})
- Scale metadata size/performance beyond single MDS
- Presentation on this later today
- Network Request Scheduler (WC/Xyratex)
 - Reorder read/write operations for more optimal/repeatable disk order
 - Infrastructure for request prioritization (QOS, throttling, etc.)
 - Presentation on this later today
- 4MB Bulk RPC transfers (Xyratex)
 - Submit larger IO requests to disk
 - Less round-trip latency for WAN usage



Lustre 2.4 - ZFS OSD (WC/LLNL)

• Leverage many features immediately

- Robust code with 10+ years maturity
- Data checksums on disk + Lustre checksums on network
- Online filesystem check/scrub/repair no more *e2fsck*!
- Scales beyond current ldiskfs object/filesystem limits
- Drive commodity JBOD storage without RAID hardware
- Integrated with flash storage cache (L2ARC)

• More ZFS features to leverage in the future

- Snapshots, end-to-end data integrity, datasets
- Active open development community (Delphix, Joyent, Illumos)
- Build Lustre servers without kernel patches
- Compatible with 1.8 clients
 - Minor issues fixed, and/or handled on server



Lustre 2.4 - HSM (WC/CEA)

- Originally developed by CEA France
- Simple archive back-end interface
 - Copy a file to archive, notify MDS it is finished
 - Initially supports HPSS and POSIX APIs
 - POSIX copytool can archive to any "filesystem"
 - HPSS copytool available to HPSS users

• Infrastructure useful for other projects

- Layout lock for dynamic inode layouts
 - Data migration between storage pools/tiers
 - Asynchronous data mirroring
- Policy engine to to provide automation interface
 - Integrate with Lustre ChangeLog to avoid scanning
 - Manage space, tiers, users, directory trees, file types



Lustre 2.5 - September 2013

- Distributed Namespace Shard/Stripe dir (WC/OSFS)
 - Split a single large directory over multiple MDTs
 - Better size/performance for single directory
- LFSCK Online scrub MDT-MDT consistency (WC/OSFS)
 - Verify parent->child remote directory
 - Verify master->slave directory shards
- OpenSFS TWG prioritizing other features
 - Requirements gathered from OpenSFS members, community
 - Developing consensus on short list of features
 - OpenSFS members vote to select priority feature funding
 - Meeting this Wednesday afternoon
 - One more good reason to join OpenSFS



Lustre 2.5+ - Storage Management

- Asynchronous Object Mirroring/Migration
 - File mirroring is critical to long-term availability
 - Selective mirroring of objects, within/across OST pools
 - Migration to balance OST usage, empty old OSTs

• Storage Tiers

- Extension of existing OST pools
- Different types of storage (flash, SAS, SATA) or locations
- Add per-pool quotas to control usage/access permission
- Leverage HSM infrastructure (copytool, policy engine)
- Complex File Layouts
 - Different layouts/pools for extents of the same file
 - Incrementally change striping as file grows
- Useful separately, powerful together



Lustre 2.5+ - Management/availability

• LNET configuration/robustness

- Better tools, online routing configuration changes
- LNET channel bonding, IPv6

• Scalable fault detection/Health Network

- Reduce ping overhead (avoid clients*servers pings on idle system)
- Faster notification of client/server failure = faster recovery/response

• Improved Lustre Management

- Improved configuration tools, interfaces, and robustness
- Better application programming interfaces
- Administrative shutdown of servers
 - Flush client caches/locks before server shutdown
 - Faster recovery of clients for controlled restarts
 - Transparent protocol changes possible
 - Simplified upgrade code = improved reliability



In every feature release

- Ongoing bug fixing
- Handling kernel API changes
- Performance improvements
- Other minor features
- Usability fixes
- Feature releases with funding from OpenSFS



Thank You

• Andreas Dilger Principal Lustre Engineer Whamcloud, Inc. adilger@whamcloud.com



ZFS on Linux Licensing Answers

• ZFS is NOT a derived work of Linux

"It would be rather preposterous to call the Andrew FileSystem a 'derived work' of Linux, for example, so I think it's perfectly OK to have an AFS module, for example." – Linus Torvalds

"Our view is that just using structure definitions, typedefs, constants, macros with simple bodies, etc., is NOT enough to make a derivative work. It would take a substantial amount of code (coming from inline functions or macros with substantial bodies) to do that." – Richard Stallman (The FSF's view)

• ZFS module is Open Source Software

- Even proprietary binary modules tolerated (Nvidia, GPFS, etc)

• Companies already support OpenSolaris ZFS

- Nexenta, Joyent, may other vendors via Illumos
- CDDL provides ZFS patent indemnification